

String Field Theory of Two-Dimensional QCD on a Cylinder: A Realization of W_∞ Current Algebra

Avinash Dhar, Porus Lakdawala, Gautam Mandal and Spenta R. Wadia
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005, INDIA

Abstract

We consider 2-dimensional QCD on a cylinder, where space is a circle of length L . We formulate the theory in terms of gauge-invariant gluon operators and multiple-winding meson (open string) operators. The meson bilocal operators satisfy a W_∞ current algebra. The gluon sector (closed strings) contains purely quantum mechanical degrees of freedom. The description of this sector in terms of non-relativistic fermions leads to a W_∞ algebra. The spectrum of excitations of the full theory is therefore organized according to two different algebras: a wedge subalgebra of W_∞ current algebra in the meson sector and a wedge subalgebra of W_∞ algebra in the glueball sector. In the large N limit the theory becomes semiclassical and an effective description for the gluon degrees of freedom can be obtained. We have solved the effective theory of the gluons in the small L limit. We get a glueball spectrum which coincides with the ‘discrete states’ of the (Euclidean) $c = 1$ string theory. We remark on the implications of these results for (a) QCD at finite temperature and (b) string theory.

0. Introduction

Soluble models of string theory are not abundant and this greatly limits our ability to tackle many of the important problems in string theory. In the last several years there has been considerable amount of work in the $c = 1$ string theory [1]. However this theory, being a closed string theory in two dimensions, has only one propagating degree of freedom and hence does not provide an opportunity to understand the physics of the infinite tower of massive states which are in higher dimensions responsible for some of the most remarkable properties of string theory. Recently there has been a trend to explore lower dimensional gauge theories [2, 3, 4, 5]. One motivation for this is to provide new models of string theory. In a previous paper [6] we had considered the problem of QCD with fermions in a two dimensional spacetime which is a plane. We formulated the theory in terms of gauge-invariant open string operators (which physically represent a meson) satisfying a W_∞ algebra. We reinterpreted the infinite tower of mesons (a result originally due to 'tHooft [7]) as perturbations around a classical ground state that form a representation of the wedge subalgebra $W_{\infty+} \otimes W_{\infty+}$ of the original W_∞ algebra, thus providing an example of an infinite dimensional algebra that organizes the massive levels of string theory.

In this paper we discuss the more complicated example of QCD (with fermions) on a two-dimensional cylinder where space is a circle of length L and time is non-compact. Unlike the case of the two-dimensional plane, QCD₂ on a cylinder has *dynamical* gauge fields, besides the Coulomb force between the quarks, giving rise to a much larger class of gauge-invariant operators. Let us denote by $\Gamma_{xy}^{(n)}$ the path which starts from point x on the circle and reaches the point y after winding around the circle n times in an anticlockwise fashion. The non-abelian phase factor corresponding to this path is given by the operator $U^{(n)}(x, y, t)|_{ab} \equiv (P \exp[ig \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{(n)}} A_1(x', t) dx'])_{ab}$. The present theory is described in terms of two basic sets of gauge-invariant operators constructed out of the above operator: (1) the pure gluon operators $\mathcal{U}(n, t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} U^{(n)}(x, x, t)$ and (2) the multiple-winding ‘meson’ operators $M_{xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta}(n, t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a, b} \psi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) U_{ab}^{(n)}(x, y, t) \psi_{j\beta}^b(y, t)$ (here i, α and a respectively denote flavour, dirac and color indices). Because of the presence of non-trivial winding numbers, the ‘mesons’ this time satisfy a W_∞ *current algebra* ($\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$) (Sec. 2) and obey constraints that depend on the $\mathcal{U}(n, t)$ (Sec. 3). These constraints define a coadjoint orbit of $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ and lead to a Kirillov construction of the classical action (Sec. 4).

One of the interesting features in the quantum mechanics of our model is the nature of the fermi vacuum (Sec. 5). We find that there is no fixed fermi vacuum labelled by a fixed fermi level since the latter is neither a gauge-invariant notion nor a conserved quantity due to the anomaly in the axial current. The right vacuum instead is a linear combination of fermi vacua labelled by all possible values of the fermi level. The implication of this on the gluon dynamics (which, in the gauge $A_1 = \text{constant}$ and diagonal, is described in terms of N non-relativistic fermionic particles moving on a circle) is that effectively the fermions live on the real line under the influence of a harmonic oscillator potential and a mutual interaction (Sec. 6). Some of these phenomena have earlier been observed by Manton [8] in the context of the Schwinger model on the cylinder.

The description of the gluon sector in terms of non-relativistic fermions leads to the interesting phenomenon that there are two W_∞ algebras in our theory; one is the current algebra $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ of the ‘mesons’ described earlier and the second is the W_∞ algebra that is formed by fermion bilinears where the ‘fermions’ now mean those in the gauge sector. Indeed since these latter fermions live on a circle whose length goes as $1/L$ (as against the quarks which move in real space of radius $\sim L$) there is a rather interesting duality between these two sets of fermions. In the small L limit the ‘meson’ fluctuations (excitation energies $\sim 1/L$) are effectively frozen (Sec. 8) and the W_∞ of

the gluon sector becomes operative. We find the ‘glueball spectrum’ in this limit and show that it coincides with the ‘discrete states’ of the $c = 1$ string theory and is arranged by the W_∞ algebra [9]. In the large L limit, on the other hand, it is the mesons which fluctuate and the gluons are frozen. In that limit the physics reduces to that of the planar model where the meson fluctuations are arranged by a W_∞ algebra [6] which is the zero mode part of the current algebra (Sec. 9). For intermediate values of L we indicate how meson fluctuations around the ground state form a representation of a wedge subalgebra of $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ (Sec. 7) although the interpretation of them as spacetime particles and how they interact with the glueballs remains an open question.

1. The action and the hamiltonian

We consider the gauge group $U(N)$. As usual we denote the gauge fields, which are hermitian matrices, by A_μ^{ab} where $\mu = 0, 1$ is the Lorentz index and $a, b = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are colour indices. The fermions are denoted by $\psi_{i\alpha}^a$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_f$ is the flavour index and $\alpha = \pm 1$ is the dirac index. We consider one space and one time dimension where the space dimension is a circle of length L .

The theory is described by the following path-integral

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}A_0(x, t) \mathcal{D}A_1(x, t) \mathcal{D}\psi(x, t) \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger(x, t) \exp[iS(A_0, A_1, \psi, \psi^\dagger)] \\ S &= \int_0^T dt \int_0^L dx \left(-\frac{1}{4} \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (iD_\mu) \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi \right) \\ F_{01} &\equiv E = \partial_t A_1 - \partial_x A_0 + ig[A_0, A_1], \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu + igA_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

which has the following gauge invariance

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &\rightarrow \psi^\Omega(x, t) \equiv \Omega(x, t) \psi(x, t) \\ A_\mu(x, t) &\rightarrow A_\mu^\Omega(x, t) \equiv \frac{i}{g} \partial_\mu \Omega(x, t) \Omega^\dagger(x, t) + \Omega A_\mu \Omega^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\Omega(x, t)$ is an arbitrary $U(N)$ matrix. We first fix the gauge $\partial_x A_1 = 0$. Using the standard Fadeev-Popov procedure we can reduce $\mathcal{D}A_1(x, t)$ to $\mathcal{D}\bar{A}(t)$ with appropriate Jacobian factors. We get

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\bar{A}(t) \Delta_1[\bar{A}] \mathcal{D}A_0(x, t) \mathcal{D}\psi(x, t) \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger(x, t) \exp[i(S_0 + S_{coul} + S_F)] \\ \Delta_1[\bar{A}] &= \det(i\partial_x/g + ad \bar{A}) \\ S_0 &= \int dt \frac{L}{2} [\text{tr}(\partial_t \bar{A} + ig[A_0^{(0)}, \bar{A}])^2] \\ S_{coul} &= \int dt \int_0^L dx \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr}(D_{\bar{A}} \widehat{A}_0)^2 - 2g \text{tr}(\rho A_0)], \quad \rho_{ab}(x, t) = \psi^\dagger b(x, t) \psi^a(x, t) \\ S_F &= \int dt \int_0^L dx [\psi^\dagger i\partial_t \psi + \psi^\dagger \gamma^5 (i\partial_x - g\bar{A}) \psi - m \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In the above we have denoted the constant mode of the field $A_0(x, t)$ by $A_0^{(0)}(t)$ and the rest of it by $\widehat{A}_0(x, t)$. We still have residual gauge transformations which are purely time-dependent, $\Omega(t)$, which we now use to further gauge fix $A_0^{(0)}$ to zero. Going through the Fadeev-Popov procedure once again we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\bar{A}(t) \Delta_1[\bar{A}] \mathcal{D}\widehat{A}_0(x, t) \mathcal{D}\psi(x, t) \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger(x, t) \exp[i(S_0 + S_{coul} + S_F)] \\ S_0 &= \int dt \frac{L}{2} \text{tr}(\partial_t \bar{A})^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

alongwith the constraint

$$L\rho_{0,aa} \equiv Q_a = 0 \quad (5)$$

Here $\rho_{0,ab}$ are the generators of colour rotations on fermions and are zero modes of $\rho_{ab}(x)$ appearing in (3), thus

$$\rho_{0,ab} \equiv (1/L) \int_0^L dx \psi^{\dagger b}(x) \psi^a(x) \quad (6)$$

The Coulomb term S_{coul} and S_F remain the same as in (3). To proceed further we use the following change of variables:

$$\bar{A}(t) = V(t)\lambda(t)V^\dagger(t) \quad (7)$$

where the colour matrix $\lambda(t)$ is diagonal. The measure $\mathcal{D}\bar{A}$ changes as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\bar{A}(t) &= \mathcal{D}\lambda(t)\mathcal{D}V(t) \prod_t \Delta^2(\lambda(t)) \\ \mathcal{D}V(t) &\equiv \prod_t \prod_{a \neq b} (V^\dagger(t) dV(t))_{ab} \\ \Delta(\lambda(t)) &\equiv \prod_{a < b} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We have used a constraint $(V^\dagger dV)_{aa} = 0$ to make the change of variable (7) well-defined. Under this the action S_0 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \int dt \frac{L}{2} [\text{tr}(\partial_t \lambda)^2 - \sum_{a \neq b} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b)^2 l_{ab} l_{ba}] \\ l_{ab} &\equiv (V^\dagger(t) i \partial_t V(t))_{ab} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In order to compensate for the change of variable (7) in S_F and S_{coul} we make corresponding changes of integration variables $\psi \rightarrow V^\dagger \psi$, $\widehat{A}_0 \rightarrow V^\dagger \widehat{A}_0 V$. The integration measures are invariant under this change. S_F and S_{coul} now become

$$\begin{aligned} S_F &= \int dt \int_0^L dx [\psi^\dagger i \partial_t \psi + \text{tr}(\rho_0 l) + \psi^\dagger \gamma^5 (i \partial_x - g \lambda) \psi - m \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi] \\ S_{coul} &= \int dt \int_0^L dx \frac{1}{2} [\text{tr}(D_\lambda \widehat{A}_0)^2 - 2g \text{tr}(\widehat{\rho} \widehat{A}_0)] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here we have defined $\widehat{\rho}_{ab} \equiv \rho_{ab}(x) - \rho_{0,ab}$. The term $\text{tr}(\rho_0 l)$ appears because of the change of fermion variable $\psi(x, t) \rightarrow V^\dagger(t) \psi(x, t)$. Note that l_{aa} does not appear in it because of eqn. (5). That is consistent with the change of variable (7). The functional integration over $V(t)$ requires a careful treatment [11]. We get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \prod_t \Delta^2(\lambda(t)) \mathcal{D}V(t) \exp \left(i \int_0^T dt \frac{L}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} [(\lambda_a - \lambda_b)^2 l_{ab} l_{ba} + 2l_{ab} \rho_{0,ba}] \right) \\ &= \Delta(\lambda(0)) \Delta(\lambda(T)) \exp(i S_{0,coul}) \\ S_{0,coul} &= - \int_0^T dt \frac{L}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \rho_{0,ab} \rho_{0,ba} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b)^{-2} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It is easy to do the integration over $\widehat{A}_0(x, t)$. This produces a Jacobian factor $1/\Delta_1[\lambda(t)]$ which cancels the Jacobian in the measure in (4). Note that $\Delta_1[\bar{A}(t)] = \Delta_1[\lambda(t)]$. In the action this integration amounts to replacing in S_{coul} in (10) $\widehat{A}_0(x, t)$ by $-g(D_\lambda)^{-2} \widehat{\rho}$. In terms of mode expansions $\psi(x, t) = \sum_n \psi_n(t) \exp(2\pi i n x / L)$ this means replacing in S_{coul} the classical value

$$A_{0,n}^{ab} = g \frac{\rho_{n,ab}}{(2\pi n / gL + (\lambda_a - \lambda_b))^2}, \quad n \neq 0 \quad (12)$$

Combining the resulting S_{coul} with the off-diagonal zero mode contribution $S_{0,coul}$ of (11) and collecting all the pieces we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\lambda(t) \Delta(\lambda(0)) \Delta(\lambda(T)) \mathcal{D}\psi(x, t) \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger(x, t) \exp[i(S_0 + S_F + S_{coul})] \\
S_0 &= \int_0^T dt \frac{L}{2} \sum_a (\partial_t \lambda_a)^2 \\
S_F &= \int_0^T dt \int_0^L dx [\psi^\dagger i \partial_t \psi + \psi^\dagger \gamma^5 (i \partial_x - g\lambda) \psi - m \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi] \\
S_{coul} &= \sum_{a,b} \int_0^T dt \int_0^L dx \int_0^L dy \rho_{ab}(x) \rho_{ba}(y) K_{ab}(x - y)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In the above the kernel $K_{ab}(x)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{ab}(x) &\equiv -g^2 L / (8\pi^2) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-i2\pi n x / L) [n + (\lambda_a - \lambda_b) / \lambda_0]^2, \quad a \neq b \\
K_{aa}(x) &\equiv -g^2 L / (8\pi^2) \sum_{n \neq 0} \exp(-i2\pi n x / L) / n^2
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\lambda_0 \equiv \frac{2\pi}{gL} \tag{15}$$

Note that the action in (13) is invariant under the “large” gauge transformations [8, 12]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{ab}(\vec{m}, x) &\equiv \exp[-igx m_a \lambda_0] \delta_{ab} \\
\lambda_a^\Omega &= \lambda_a + m_a \lambda_0
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The measure is *not* invariant under these transformations because of the presence of the van der Monde factors $\Delta(\lambda(0))$ and $\Delta(\lambda(T))$. The transformations (16) were part of the residual gauge transformations in the gauge $\partial_x A_1 = 0$ and were broken when we fixed the gauge $A_0^{(0)} = 0$. This is because the mode expansion of A_0 is not invariant under the large gauge transformations (16): $A_{0,n}^{ab} \rightarrow A_{0,n+m_a-m_b}^{ab}$. Now that A_0 has been integrated out completely, we can restore this invariance by observing that since the classical action is invariant, the path integral automatically invariantizes the van der Monde factors (this can be established by an argument involving a change of integration variable corresponding to (16)). The result is that $\Delta(\lambda(0))$ and $\Delta(\lambda(T))$ are replaced by their periodic counterparts $\Delta_P(\lambda(0))$ and $\Delta_P(\lambda(T))$:

$$\Delta_P(\lambda) \equiv \prod_{a < b} \sin[\pi(\lambda_a - \lambda_b) / \lambda_0] \tag{17}$$

The fact that this is the right measure can be inferred in two steps. First, we know this to be the case for pure Yang-Mills theory in two dimensions [14, 15]. In the presence of dynamical fermions, since the fermion measure is separately invariant under large gauge transformations, and the new terms S_F and S_{coul} in the action are also invariant, the invariantization of the measure for the gauge fields must proceed in an identical fashion, giving rise to the same formula (17).

The Δ_P factors at $t = 0$ and $t = T$ in the measure ensure that the initial and final wavefunctions are completely antisymmetrized with respect to the λ_a ’s (since to start with they must be symmetric with respect to permutation of the λ_a ’s on account of Weyl-symmetry). This gives rise to the well-known fermionic nature of these eigenvalues.

The kernels

The kernels (14) can be explicitly evaluated. In the (x, y) region of integration pertinent to (13),

the argument of the kernel $K_{ab}(x)$ lies in the range $[-L, L]$. In this range

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ab}(x) &= -(g^2/4) \exp[igx(\lambda_a - \lambda_b)] [L/(2 \sin^2[\pi(\lambda_a - \lambda_b)/\lambda_0]) - ix \cot[\pi(\lambda_a - \lambda_b)/\lambda_0] - |x|], \quad a \neq b \\ K_{aa}(x) &= -(g^2/4) [L/6 - |x| + x^2/L], \quad x \in [-L, L] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

As we have observed earlier, the action in (13) is invariant under the large gauge transformations (16). We would like to rewrite the theory in a form that makes this symmetry manifest. Let us introduce the periodic (invariant under (16)) δ -function

$$\delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_a + n\lambda_0) \quad (19)$$

and note that

$$\int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) = 1 \quad (20)$$

Using this and the invariance of S_{coul} under (16) we may write $K_{a \neq b}(x)$ as

$$K_{a \neq b}(x) = \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' K(\lambda - \lambda'; x) e^{igx(\lambda_a - \lambda)} e^{igx(\lambda_b - \lambda')} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \delta_P(\lambda' - \lambda_b) \quad (21)$$

where

$$K(\lambda; x) \equiv -(g^2/4) e^{igx\lambda} [L/(2 \sin^2[\pi\lambda/\lambda_0]) - ix \cot[\pi\lambda/\lambda_0] - |x|] \quad (22)$$

Note that we cannot use the δ_P -functions in the integrand in (21) to set $\lambda_a = \lambda$ and $\lambda_b = \lambda'$ in the exponential factors since these δ_P -functions only equate the fractional parts (in units of λ_0) of respectively λ_a and λ_b with λ and λ' . We use the uncanceled integer (in units of λ_0) parts of λ_a and λ_b in the following to write the contribution of (21) to S_{coul} as

$$\int_0^T dt \int_0^L dx \int_0^L dy \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' K(\lambda - \lambda'; x - y) \sum_{a \neq b} \tilde{\rho}_{ab}(x, t) \tilde{\rho}_{ba}(y, t) \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \delta_P(\lambda' - \lambda_b) \quad (23)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_{ab}(x, t) \equiv \chi^{\dagger b}(x, t) \chi^a(x, t)$ and $\chi^a(x, t)$ is defined as

$$\chi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) \equiv \exp[igx(\lambda_a - \lambda)] \psi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) \quad (24)$$

Note that the χ 's are invariant under the large gauge transformations (16). Now, the $a \neq b$ sum in (23) can be thought of as $\sum_{a,b} - \sum_{a=b}$. The latter, when inserted in (23), involves the quantity $K(\lambda; x)$ in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. In this limit $K(\lambda; x)$ behaves as

$$K(\lambda; x)|_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} = -\frac{g^2}{4} \left[\frac{L/2}{(\pi\lambda/\lambda_0)^2} + \left(\frac{L}{6} - |x| + \frac{x^2}{L} \right) \right] + o(\lambda) \quad (25)$$

Because of the zero charge condition, eqn. (5), the divergent term in (25) does not contribute to (23). The rest of it exactly cancels the $K_{aa}(x)$ contribution to S_{coul} . Hence, we get

$$S_{coul} = \int_0^T dt \int_0^L dx \int_0^L dy \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' K(\lambda - \lambda'; x - y) \sum_{a,b} \tilde{\rho}_{ab}(x) \tilde{\rho}_{ba}(y) \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \delta_P(\lambda' - \lambda_b) \quad (26)$$

The bilocal ‘meson’ operator

Introducing now the manifestly gauge-invariant bilocal field

$$M_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_a e^{ig(x-y)\lambda_a} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \psi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) \psi_{j\beta}^{\dagger a}(y, t) \quad (27)$$

we may rewrite (26) in the manifestly gauge-invariant form:

$$S_{coul} = \frac{g^2 N^2}{4} \int_0^T dt \int_0^L dx \int_0^L dy \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' \widetilde{K}(\lambda - \lambda'; x - y) \text{tr}_{df}(M_{xy}(\lambda; t) M_{yx}(\lambda', t)) \quad (28)$$

where

$$\widetilde{K}(\lambda; x) = \frac{L/2}{\sin^2(\pi\lambda/\lambda_0)} - ix \cot(\pi\lambda/\lambda_0) - |x| \quad (29)$$

and the trace ‘tr_{df}’ is over dirac and flavour indices. We notice that in terms of the manifestly gauge invariant operator $M(\lambda, t)$, an internal dimension of length λ_0 has emerged.

The physical meaning of the gauge-invariant bilocal fields introduced in (27) is transparent in the exponential representation of the periodic δ -function (Poisson’s summation formula):

$$\delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i2\pi n(\lambda - \lambda_a)/\lambda_0} \quad (30)$$

Substituting this in (27) we see that

$$M_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i2\pi n\lambda/\lambda_0} M_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n, t) \quad (31)$$

where

$$M_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n, t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_a e^{ig(x-y+nL)\lambda_a(t)} \psi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) \psi_{j\beta}^{\dagger a}(y, t) \quad (32)$$

is the bilocal “meson” field with n windings of the gauge field around the spatial direction. We note that (32) is indeed the manifestly gauge invariant operator

$$M_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n, t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_a \psi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) \left(e^{ig \int_{\Gamma_{xy}^{(n)}} A_i(x', t) dx'} \right) \psi_{j\beta}^{\dagger a}(y, t) \quad (33)$$

where $\Gamma_{xy}^{(n)}$ is a path connecting x and y with n windings around the circle¹. In the gauge

$$A_1(x, t) = V^\dagger(t) \lambda(t) V(t), \quad [\lambda(t)]_{ab} = \delta_{ab} \lambda_a(t), \quad (35)$$

it simply evaluates to (32). We also note that the periodicity of the ψ ’s in the spatial direction implies the constraints

$$M_{L0}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n, t) = M_{00}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n+1, t), \quad M_{0L}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n, t) = M_{00}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n-1, t) \quad (36)$$

¹In higher dimensions Γ_{xy} is not characterized by an integer but $M_{xy}(\Gamma, t)$ still form a closed algebra (see next section):

$$[M_{xy}(\Gamma, t), M_{x'y'}(\Gamma', t)] = \delta_{xy'} M_{y'x}(\Gamma' \circ \Gamma, t) - \delta_{x'y} M_{xy'}(\Gamma \circ \Gamma', t) \quad (34)$$

where $\Gamma \circ \Gamma'$ is a curve defined by $(\Gamma \circ \Gamma')_{xy'} = \Gamma_{xy} \Gamma'_{x'y'} \delta_{yx'}$.

In terms of the variable λ these read as

$$M_{L0}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t) = e^{i2\pi\lambda/\lambda_0} M_{00}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t), \quad M_{0L}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t) = e^{-i2\pi\lambda/\lambda_0} M_{00}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t) \quad (37)$$

The hamiltonian

We may now write down the Hamiltonian for this problem

$$\frac{H}{N} = H_{YM} + H_F, \quad (38)$$

where

$$H_{YM} = \frac{1}{2NL} \sum_a p_a^2, \quad (39)$$

and

$$H_F = \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \text{Tr}[i\gamma^5 \partial M(\lambda) - m\gamma^0 M(\lambda)] - \frac{g^2 N}{4} \int_0^L dx \int_0^L dy \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' \widetilde{K}(\lambda - \lambda'; x - y) \text{tr}_{df}(M_{xy}(\lambda) M_{yx}(\lambda')) \quad (40)$$

$\widetilde{K}(\lambda; x)$ is given by (29), $\partial_{xy} = \partial_x \delta_P(x - y)$ and Tr stands for trace over dirac and flavour indices and integration over spatial coordinates. The periodic spatial δ_P -function is defined similarly to (19):

$$\delta_P(x - y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - y + nL) \quad (41)$$

The above hamiltonian comes with the prescription that it acts on wavefunctions which already include the factors $\Delta_P(\lambda)$ described in (17) and are therefore completely antisymmetrized in the λ_a 's.

2. Operator algebra of the bilocal field

The bilocal fields (27) (equivalently (32)) satisfy a closed algebra. This can be easily derived using the fermion anticommutation relation

$$\{\psi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t), \psi_{j\beta}^{\dagger b}(y, t)\} = \delta^{ab} \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_P(x - y) \quad (42)$$

The gauge invariant operators (32)((33)) satisfy the following current algebra

$$[M_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(n, t), M_{x'y'}^{i'\alpha',j'\beta'}(n', t)] = (1/N) \delta^{ji'} \delta^{\beta\alpha'} \delta_P(y - x') M_{xy'}^{i\alpha,j'\beta'}(n + n', t) \\ - (1/N) \delta^{ij'} \delta^{\alpha\beta'} \delta_P(x - y') M_{x'y}^{i'\alpha',j\beta}(n + n', t) \quad (43)$$

or equivalently the loop algebra

$$[M_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t), M_{x'y'}^{i'\alpha',j'\beta'}(\lambda', t)] = (1/N) \delta^{ji'} \delta^{\beta\alpha'} \delta_P(y - x') \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') M_{xy'}^{i\alpha,j'\beta'}(\lambda, t) \\ - (1/N) \delta^{ij'} \delta^{\alpha\beta'} \delta_P(x - y') \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') M_{x'y}^{i'\alpha',j\beta}(\lambda, t) \quad (44)$$

For $n = n' = 0$, we recognize (44) as the infinite dimensional algebra $W_\infty^f \equiv W_\infty \otimes U(n_f) \otimes U(2)$. (44) ((43)) involves an infinite dimensional generalization of the algebra W_∞ , which may

appropriately be called $\widetilde{W}_\infty$ current algebra. We shall denote this in the standard notation by $\widetilde{W}_\infty$ while $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ will stand for $\widetilde{W}_\infty \otimes U(n_f)$. We have seen in [6] how a central term arises in $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ algebra of the bilocal meson fields (in the case in which $L \rightarrow \infty$) when expanded around a given classical background. A similar phenomenon occurs in the present case and fluctuations of $M(\lambda)$ around any given classical background satisfy a loop algebra with a central term. This central term is essentially the ground state expectation value of the bilocal field $M(\lambda)$. This is discussed in detail in Sec. 7.

3. Constraints

We now show that the zero charge condition, eqn. (5), implies a quadratic constraint on $M(\lambda)$. Using fermion anticommutation relations we can prove the identity

$$(M^2(\lambda))_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta} = (1/N) \sum_a \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) (M(\lambda))_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta} \quad (45)$$

$$+ (L/N^2) \sum_{a,b} e^{ig(x-y)\lambda} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_b) \chi_{i\alpha}^a(x) \chi_{j\beta}^{\dagger b}(y) \rho_{0,ab} \quad (46)$$

where $\rho_{0,ab}$, defined in eqn. (6), is the generator of colour rotations on fermions and χ 's are the gauge-invariant fermions defined in (24). Here we have used the convenient matrix notation for the square of $M(\lambda)$, $\sum_{k,\gamma} \int_0^L dz (M(\lambda))_{xz}^{i\alpha,k\gamma} (M(\lambda))_{zy}^{k\gamma,j\beta} \equiv (M^2(\lambda))_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}$. Now, because of the δ -functions in the second term in (46), the sum over a, b receives contribution only when $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$. However, since the physical states are antisymmetrized with respect to (λ_a, λ_b) for every pair (a, b) , this can happen only for $a = b$. Hence, the double sum in (46) collapses to only the $a = b$ terms on physical states. But this contribution also vanishes on physical states because of the zero charge condition, (5). We, thus, arrive at the constraint

$$M^2(\lambda) = \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_a \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a(t)) \right] M(\lambda) \quad (47)$$

Let us introduce the density of eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_a \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a(t)) \quad (48)$$

By definition, it satisfies the constraint

$$\int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \mathcal{U}(\lambda, t) = 1 \quad (49)$$

To see the physical meaning of the eigenvalue density in the pure Yang-Mills sector, we write using (30)

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i2\pi n\lambda/\lambda_0} \mathcal{U}(n, t) \quad (50)$$

Now $\mathcal{U}(n, t)$ is the gauge-invariant loop variable with n windings in the gauge (35):

$$\mathcal{U}(n, t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_a e^{i2\pi n\lambda_a(t)/\lambda_0} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} e^{ig \int_{\Gamma(n)} A_i dx} \quad (51)$$

$\Gamma^{(n)}$ is a curve that winds n times around the circle; $-n$ corresponds to windings in the opposite direction. Using (48) in (47) we finally arrive at the constraint

$$M^2(\lambda) = \mathcal{U}(\lambda)M(\lambda) \quad (52)$$

Also, from the definition (27) of $M(\lambda)$ and the zero charge condition, eqn. (5), it follows that

$$\text{Tr}(M(\lambda) - \mathcal{U}(\lambda)) = 0 \quad (53)$$

(52) and (53) constitute an infinite set of constraints which the bilocal variable $M(\lambda)$ must satisfy. As we shall see later, these constraints specify a coadjoint orbit of the group $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ and enable us to construct a classical action in terms of the bosonized variable $M(\lambda)$.

4. The large N limit — classical action and equations of motion

In the rest of this paper, we will consider the present model in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since quantum fluctuations are suppressed in this limit, the theory greatly simplifies. The large N limit is taken by holding $\bar{g} = g\sqrt{N}$ fixed as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit, then, λ_0 grows as $\sqrt{N}$. This is because $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/gL = \sqrt{N} 2\pi/\bar{g}L \equiv \sqrt{N} \bar{\lambda}_0$, where now we must hold $\bar{\lambda}_0$ fixed as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This necessitates scaling of λ , λ_a and p_a to respectively $\lambda/\sqrt{N} \equiv \bar{\lambda}$, $\lambda_a/\sqrt{N} \equiv \bar{\lambda}_a$ and $p_a/\sqrt{N} \equiv \bar{p}_a$. Note that in terms of the scaled variables the value of $\hbar$ is $1/N$. Henceforth, we shall drop the ‘bar’ on $\bar{\lambda}_0$, $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_a$. We shall, however, continue to use $\bar{g} = g\sqrt{N}$ to remind ourselves that in the following $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit has been taken.

We also define here another variable $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ that we shall actually need in the following discussion:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\overline{M}(\lambda)\right)_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta} &= e^{-i\bar{g}(x-y)\lambda} \left(\widetilde{M}(\lambda)\right)_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta} \\ &= (1/N\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t)) \sum_a \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \chi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) \chi_{j\beta}^{\dagger a}(y, t) \\ &= (1/N\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t)) \sum_a \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda_a) \exp[i\bar{g}(x-y)(\lambda - \lambda_a)] \psi_{i\alpha}^a(x, t) \psi_{j\beta}^{\dagger a}(y, t) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $\widetilde{M}(\lambda) = M(\lambda)/\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$. By construction, $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ is periodic in x, y . It is, however, not periodic in λ .

In terms of $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ the fermionic part of the hamiltonian, H_F , eqn. (38), is given in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H_F &= \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \text{Tr} \left[\gamma^5 (i\partial - \bar{g}\lambda) \overline{M}(\lambda) - m\gamma^0 \overline{M}(\lambda) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\bar{g}^2}{4} \int_0^L dx \int_0^L dy \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' \mathcal{U}(\lambda') \bar{K}(\lambda - \lambda'; x - y) \text{tr}_{df}(\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda) \overline{M}_{yx}(\lambda')) \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where

$$\bar{K}(\lambda; x) = e^{i\bar{g}x\lambda} \widetilde{K}(\lambda; x), \quad (56)$$

and $\widetilde{K}(\lambda; x)$ has earlier been defined in (29). Also, in terms of $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ the constraints (52) and (53) read

$$\overline{M}^2(\lambda) = \overline{M}(\lambda), \quad (57)$$

$$\text{Tr}(1 - \overline{M}(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (58)$$

Classical Action and Equations of Motion:

In order to find out the classical action of the system, we need to recognize the important fact that p_a and $\overline{M}$ do not commute. Indeed they satisfy the commutation relation

$$[p_a(t), \overline{M}(\lambda, t)] = -\frac{i}{N} \partial_{\lambda_a} \overline{M}(\lambda, t) \quad (59)$$

From the definition of $\overline{M}(\lambda, t)$, eqn. (54), we see that the right hand side of (59) does not vanish. Had this commutator been zero, it would have been easy to write down independent symplectic forms for the (λ_a, p_a) system (namely, simply $\sum_a d\lambda_a \wedge dp_a$) and for the $\overline{M}$ system ([10]) and the classical action would involve sum of these two symplectic forms. We shall see below that the (λ_a, p_a) system carries a representation of the Virasoro Group ($\text{Diff}(S^1)$) while the $\overline{M}$ system, as already noted, forms an orbit of $\widetilde{W}_\infty$. The existence of the commutator (59) owes to the fact that the Virasoro group acts on the $\overline{M}$ system as well, thus leading to an intertwining of the two group actions. The situation here is similar to the one encountered in rigid body dynamics where the space-fixed angular momenta and the linear momenta do not commute. To disentangle these two group actions we shall adopt below a procedure analogous to going to the body-fixed angular momenta.

Virasoro Action:

The Virasoro group arises as follows. Let us consider the space of the λ_a 's. The change from one set of λ_a 's to another set, λ'_a 's, can clearly be achieved by a reparametrization $f : R \rightarrow R, f(\lambda_a) = \lambda'_a \forall a$. The easiest way to see this is to think of a two-dimensional plot in which the λ_a 's are marked on the x -axis and the λ'_a 's are marked on the y -axis. The points $(x, y) = (\lambda_a, \lambda'_a)$ provide N number of points for the plot of $y = f(x)$. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the map is completely specified. Let us now consider only those changes of λ_a 's in which the integer parts of λ_a do not change. In other words, if we introduce the useful notation

$$x = \text{int}(x) + \text{frac}(x) \quad (60)$$

where $\text{int}(x)$ is the largest integer less than or equal to x and $\text{frac}(x) = x - \text{int}(x)$, we are looking for functions f which are such that $\text{int}(f(\lambda_a)) = \text{int}(\lambda_a) \forall a$. It is clear that these functions are actually maps from $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ and are of winding number one. These functions form a group (the Virasoro group) under standard composition and inversion. The reason for restricting to these functions is that in the discussion of equations of motion we will be concerned with infinitesimal time-evolutions in which $\text{int}(\lambda_a)$'s do not change.

It is easy to see that the density $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ of eigenvalues which is a single-valued function on the circle $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0]$ changes under a map $f^{-1} : S^1 \rightarrow S^1, \lambda_a \mapsto \lambda'_a = f^{-1}(\lambda_a)$ as follows

$$\mathcal{U}_f(\lambda) = f'(\lambda) \mathcal{U}(f(\lambda)) \quad (61)$$

where we have defined

$$\mathcal{U}_f(\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{U}(\lambda | \{f^{-1}(\lambda_a)\}), \quad \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{U}(\lambda | \{\lambda_a\}) \quad (62)$$

Thus a change of the eigenvalues $\lambda_a \rightarrow f^{-1}(\lambda_a)$ is equivalent to a reparametrization $\lambda \rightarrow f(\lambda)$. Under these reparametrizations $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ transforms as a spin-one current. It is convenient to introduce the quantity $\varphi(\lambda)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda) = \partial_\lambda \varphi(\lambda) \quad (63)$$

$\varphi(\lambda)$ transforms under the above Virasoro group as a scalar:

$$\varphi'(f(\lambda)) = \varphi(\lambda) \quad (64)$$

We now make the important observation that *the entire $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ -configuration space is an orbit of the Virasoro group*. The reason is that, as we have argued, any distribution λ_a of eigenvalues can be reached from a fiducial distribution $\lambda_{a,0}$ by an appropriate $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ map g^{-1} , that is

$$\lambda_a = g^{-1}(\lambda_{a,0}) \quad (65)$$

provided that the integer parts of these two distributions are the same. Let the densities corresponding to the fiducial distribution and the arbitrary distribution be called $\mathcal{U}_0(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ respectively. By (61) we have

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda) = \mathcal{U}_0(\lambda) dg/d\lambda \quad (66)$$

By choosing the fiducial distribution $\lambda_{a,0}$ to be such that $\mathcal{U}_0$ is uniform (by the normalization condition it must then be $1/\lambda_0$), we can explicitly determine the Virasoro transformation g^{-1} that takes us from $\lambda_{a,0}$ to λ_a . The result is

$$dg/d\lambda = \lambda_0 \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \quad (67)$$

Using (63) we find

$$g(\lambda)/\lambda_0 = \int_0^\lambda \mathcal{U}(\lambda) = \varphi(\lambda) - \varphi(0) \quad (68)$$

Thus the orbit of the Virasoro group can be parametrized by the values of the function $\varphi(\lambda)$.

We can repeat the above exercise for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. We get

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(f(\lambda)|\{\lambda_a\}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda|\{f^{-1}(\lambda_a)\}) \quad (69)$$

where, as in (62), we have displayed the dependence of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda)$ on $\{\lambda_a\}$ for clarity. Let us choose f to be precisely the transformation g^{-1} that takes us from the fiducial distribution $\lambda_{a,0}$ to λ_a , namely (68). In that case, we see that

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}(g^{-1}(\lambda)|\{\lambda_a\}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda|\{\lambda_{0,a}\}) \quad (70)$$

In other words, if we define a new variable

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}(g^{-1}(\lambda)) \quad (71)$$

then according to (70) $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ does not depend on the $\{\lambda_a\}$. More precisely,

$$[p_a, \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t)] = 0 \quad (72)$$

This is the disentanglement we were looking for.

Because of the zero commutation relation (72) it is now easy to write down the “ $p\dot{q}$ ” term for the whole system as the sum of the separate “ $p\dot{q}$ ” terms for the (λ_a, p_a) system and the $\mathcal{M}$ system. The new field $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies the same constraints as $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$

$$\mathcal{M}^2(\lambda) = \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \quad (73)$$

$$Tr(1 - \mathcal{M}(\lambda)) = 0 \quad (74)$$

and the $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ algebra

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{M}_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda), \mathcal{M}_{x'y'}^{i'\alpha',j'\beta'}(\lambda')] \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0}{N} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') \left\{ \delta^{i'j} \delta^{\beta\alpha'} \delta_P(y - x') \mathcal{M}_{xy'}^{i\alpha,j'\beta'}(\lambda) - \delta^{ij'} \delta^{\alpha\beta'} \delta_P(x - y') \mathcal{M}_{x'y}^{i'\alpha',j\beta}(\lambda) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

A kinetic term can be constructed for it using a coadjoint orbit construction, similar to that used in [6, 10], for the present case of $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$. In this way we arrive at the classical action

$$\begin{aligned} S = N & \left[i \int_\Sigma ds dt \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t, s) [\partial_t \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t, s), \partial_s \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t, s)] \right. \\ & \left. + \int dt \{ \sum_a p_a \partial_t \lambda_a - \left(\sum_a \frac{1}{2L} p_a^2 + H_F \right) \} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where the region Σ of (s, t) integration is the lower half plane, $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $s \in (-\infty, 0]$ and we have the boundary condition that $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, t, s = 0) = \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, t, s) \rightarrow t$ -independent function of λ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. In the above equation H_F is given by (55).

We can readily obtain the equations of motion from (76) by the standard variational principle. The allowed (by the constraints (73) and (74)) variations of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, t)$ are the $\widetilde{W}_\infty^f$ group rotations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t) & \rightarrow e^{iW(\lambda, t)} \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t) e^{-iW(\lambda, t)} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t) + i [W(\lambda, t), \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t)] + o(W^2) \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

After obtaining the equations of motion it is convenient to go back to the original variable $\overline{M}(\lambda, t)$ and reexpress these equations in terms of it. After these manipulations we find that (76) leads to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} & i \left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{L} \sum_a p_a \partial_{\lambda_a} \right) \overline{M}_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta}(\lambda, t) \\ &= \left[-\gamma^5 (i\partial - \bar{g}\lambda) + m\gamma^0, \overline{M}(\lambda, t) \right]_{xy}^{i\alpha,j\beta} + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' \mathcal{U}(\lambda't) \int_0^L dz \left[\bar{K}(\lambda' - \lambda; x - z) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left(\overline{M}_{xz}(\lambda', t) \overline{M}_{zy}(\lambda, t) \right)^{i\alpha,j\beta} - \bar{K}(\lambda - \lambda'; y - z) \left(\overline{M}_{xz}(\lambda, t) \overline{M}_{zy}(\lambda', t) \right)^{i\alpha,j\beta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

$$\partial_t \lambda_a = \frac{p_a}{L}, \quad \partial_t p_a = -\partial_{\lambda_a} H_F, \quad (79)$$

where the kernel $\bar{K}(\lambda; x)$ is given by (56) and (29). For completeness we reproduce it below:

$$\bar{K}(\lambda; x) = e^{i\bar{g}x\lambda} \left[\frac{L/2}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right)} - ix \cot\left(\frac{\pi\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right) - |x| \right] \quad (80)$$

Note that the right hand side of the second equation in (79) is non-zero because of the implicit dependence of H_F on $\{\lambda_a\}$ through $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and $\overline{M}(\lambda)$.

5. The Anomaly and the fermi vacuum

In this section we would like to study the effective theory that describes the dynamics of the gauge fields obtained by ‘integrating out’ the fermions. For this purpose, in the large N limit that

we are considering, it is enough to restrict ourselves to the fermi vacuum. Actually as we shall see, there is really no single fermi vacuum in this theory in the conventional sense. One has to sum over fermi vacua labelled by all possible values of the fermi level. This is intimately connected with the presence of anomaly in the axial current in this theory as is explained in detail below. In the following we shall set the quark mass to zero and consider the case of a single flavour only.

Let us recall that the fermionic part of the hamiltonian, given in (55), has a four-fermi interaction term. As we shall see later, this term can be neglected in the large N limit for the discussion of the effective gauge theory since the error thus introduced vanishes in this limit. The quadratic fermion part (linear in $\overline{M}$) of (55) can be rewritten in the massless case in terms of fermion modes as

$$H_{F,0} = - \sum_a \sum_n Tr(\gamma^5 \psi_n^a \psi_n^{\dagger a})(n + g\lambda_a) \quad (81)$$

We note that the operators $O_n^a = Tr(\gamma^5 \psi_n^a \psi_n^{\dagger a})$ commute with $H_{F,0}$ for all a and n . In order to construct the eigenfunctions of H we can therefore start by constructing simultaneous eigenfunctions of the O_n^a . Since we are interested in the ground state of $H_{F,0}$ we need to consider only those eigenfunctions of the O_n^a which *minimize* $H_{F,0}$ for any given background values of the λ_a . Now an eigenfunction of the O_n^a which minimizes $H_{F,0}$ in a given background of λ_a 's is nothing but the filled fermi sea in that background. We therefore proceed to construct the latter.

The fermi sea in a fixed Yang-Mills background:

To construct the fermi sea we consider, as usual, the free dirac equation for quarks of each colour and dirac index

$$[i\partial_t + \gamma^5(i\partial_x - \bar{g}\lambda_a)]\psi_\alpha^a = 0 \quad (82)$$

Choosing $\gamma^0 = \sigma_1$ and $\gamma^1 = -i\sigma_2$, we have $\gamma^5 \equiv \gamma^0\gamma^1 = \sigma_3$. In this representation the dirac equation becomes

$$[i\partial_t + \text{sgn}(\alpha)(i\partial_x - \bar{g}\lambda_a)]\psi_\alpha^a = 0 \quad (83)$$

where $\alpha = \pm 1$ represent the right-, left-moving fermions respectively. The above equation is solved by

$$\psi_\alpha^a(x, t) = \sum_n \exp[-iE_{\alpha,n}^a t + i\frac{2\pi n}{L}x] \psi_{\alpha,n}^a \quad (84)$$

where

$$E_{n\alpha}^a = \frac{2\pi}{L} \text{sgn}(\alpha)(n + \frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_0}) \quad (85)$$

describes the single-particle energy spectrum. For $\alpha = +1$, $E_{\alpha,n}^a$ increases with n . Thus the fermi (dirac) sea is constructed by filling the levels from $n = -\infty$ upto some fermi level $n_{\alpha=1}^a \equiv n_R^a$. For the left-moving fermions, similarly, the fermi sea is constructed by filling from $n = +\infty$ down to the fermi level $n_{\alpha=-1}^a \equiv n_L^a$.

Fermi level transforms under large gauge transformations:

We recall that under the large gauge transformations (16)

$$\psi_\alpha^a(x, t) \rightarrow (\psi^\Omega)_\alpha^a(x, t) = \exp(-i\frac{2\pi m_a x}{L}) \psi_\alpha^a(x, t) \quad (86)$$

which implies

$$(\psi^\Omega)_{\alpha,n}^a = \psi_{\alpha,n+m_a}^a \quad (87)$$

Thus the defining condition for the fermi level n_R^a

$$\langle \psi_{+1,n}^{\dagger a} \psi_{+1,n}^a \rangle = \theta(-n + n_R^a) \quad (88)$$

leads to the following equation for the gauge-transformed fermions²

$$\langle (\psi^\Omega)_{+1,n}^{\dagger a} (\psi^\Omega)_{+1,n}^a \rangle = \langle \psi_{+1,n+m_a}^{\dagger a} \psi_{+1,n+m_a}^a \rangle = \theta(-n - m_a + n_R^a) \quad (89)$$

From (88) and (89) we see that the fermi level $(n_R^a)^\Omega$ for the gauge-transformed fermions is given by

$$(n_R^a)^\Omega = n_R^a - m_a \quad (90)$$

Similar statements are also valid for the fermi level for left-movers and one gets

$$(n_L^a)^\Omega = n_L^a - m_a \quad (91)$$

The left- and right-moving fermi levels are related

We observe that the left- and right-moving fermi levels are not independent and they actually get related by the condition $Q_a = 0$ (see equation (5)). The value of Q_a in the fermi sea is

$$Q_a = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n_R^a} 1 + \sum_{n=n_L^a}^{\infty} 1 \quad (92)$$

This is a divergent sum and it needs to be regularized. In the following we will regulate divergent sums over fermionic modes (of the colour index a) by the gauge-invariant regulator $\exp(-\epsilon|n + \lambda_a/\lambda_0|)$ which cuts off contributions from large values of $|n|$ ³. The result is

$$Q_a(\epsilon) = \frac{2}{\epsilon} + (n_R^a + 1 - n_L^a) \quad (93)$$

We will define the regularized charge Q_a^{reg} by subtracting the divergence, that is

$$Q_a^{reg} = n_R^a + 1 - n_L^a \quad (94)$$

This vanishes only if

$$n_L^a = n_R^a + 1 \quad \forall a \quad (95)$$

The subtraction that we have used here and the resulting expression for the regularized charge is consistent with gauge invariance. There is a beautiful argument due to Manton [8] which shows that a violation of (95) leads to a non-gauge-invariant answer for the total momentum which is formally gauge-invariant on states of total charge zero. His argument is for the abelian (Schwinger) model but it can be generalized to our case quite easily. In the following we shall use the notation

$$n_R^a = n_F^a, \quad n_L^a = n_F^a + 1 \quad (96)$$

² In (88) and (89) we have introduced theta functions with integer arguments, defined such that $\theta(n) = 1$ for $n \geq 0$ and 0 otherwise. Note that with this definition we have the identity $\theta(n-1) + \theta(-n) = 1$.

³ This regulator gives results that are non-analytic in λ_a for finite ϵ . However, the finite ϵ -independent pieces of regularized quantities can be shown to be analytic in λ_a .

Expectation value of the quark bilinear in the fermi sea

Let us define the notation $|\{n_F^a\}\rangle$ for the fermi sea in a given background of λ_a . The state $|\{n_F^a\}\rangle$ is defined by the following expectation values

$$\langle \psi_{+1,n}^a \psi_{+1,n}^{a\dagger} \rangle = \theta(n - n_F^a - 1) \quad (97)$$

and

$$\langle \psi_{-1,n}^a \psi_{-1,n}^{a\dagger} \rangle = \theta(-n + n_F^a) \quad (98)$$

Using the above results it is easy to calculate the quantity $\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda)$ (eqn. (54)) in the fermi vacuum $|\{n_F^a\}\rangle$. We get

$$\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda, t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\frac{2\pi n(x-y)}{L}} \overline{M}_n(\lambda, t) \quad (99)$$

where

$$\overline{M}_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N\mathcal{U}(\lambda)} \sum_a \sum_m \delta(\lambda - \xi_a + m\lambda_0) \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma^5}{2} \right) \theta(n - m - 1) + \left(\frac{1-\gamma^5}{2} \right) \theta(-n + m) \right] \quad (100)$$

In the above equation we have defined a new gauge-invariant quantity

$$\xi_a = \lambda_a + n_F^a \quad (101)$$

Note that because of non-periodicity of $\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda, t)$ in λ ($\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda + \lambda_0, t) = e^{i\frac{2\pi(x-y)}{L}} \overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda)$), the modes $\overline{M}_n(\lambda)$ satisfy the following quasi-periodicity condition

$$\overline{M}_n(\lambda + \lambda_0) = \overline{M}_{n+1}(\lambda) \quad (102)$$

The above condition is clearly satisfied by $\overline{M}_n(\lambda)$ calculated in (100).

It is easy to show that $\overline{M}_n(\lambda, t)$ obtained from (100) by replacing ξ_a by $\xi_a(t)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ by $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t)$ satisfies the constraints (57) and the (58), and the equation of motion (78). The quadratic constraint is satisfied quite trivially. The second (trace) constraint is satisfied because we have already ensured $Q_a = 0$. To see that the equation of motion (78) is also satisfied, we note that $\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda, t)$ in (99) is a function of $(x - y)$ only. This fact, together with the dirac structure of $\overline{M}_n(\lambda, t)$ displayed in (100), ensures that for massless quarks the right hand side of (78) vanishes. The fact that the non-linear term in $\overline{M}$ does not contribute at all to the equation of motion is the classical counterpart of the statement that the fermi sea constructed by ignoring the quartic fermion term is correct in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. With the right hand side equal to zero, equation (78) reduces to

$$(\partial_t - \frac{1}{L} \sum_a p_a \partial_{\lambda_a}) \overline{M}_n(\lambda, t) = 0 \quad (103)$$

It can be easily checked that $\overline{M}_n(\lambda, t)$ obtained from (100) by replacing ξ_a by $\xi_a(t)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ by $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t)$ satisfies (103).

Effective hamiltonian for the gauge fields:

The effective hamiltonian for the gauge fields is obtained from the full hamiltonian H by substituting for $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ its value (100) in the fermi vacuum (note that the contribution of the excited states is subleading in $1/N$). The pure Yang-Mills part of the hamiltonian of course remains the same. H_F becomes (with quark mass set equal to zero)

$$H_F = \bar{g}\lambda_0 \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \mathcal{U}(\lambda) V(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2L\lambda_0^2} \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' \mathcal{U}(\lambda') K(\lambda, \lambda') \quad (104)$$

where

$$V(\lambda) = - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\lambda + n\lambda_0) \text{tr}_{df}(\gamma^5 \overline{M}_n(\lambda)) \quad (105)$$

and

$$K(\lambda, \lambda') = \sum_{n, n'=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{tr}_{df} [\overline{M}_n(\lambda) \overline{M}_{n'}(\lambda')]}{[(\lambda + n\lambda_0) - (\lambda' + n'\lambda_0)]^2} \quad (106)$$

with $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ given by (100). Using the exponential regularization introduced above we get

$$V_\epsilon(\lambda) = -\frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{12} + V_{reg}(\lambda) + o(\epsilon) \quad (107)$$

and

$$K_\epsilon(\lambda, \lambda') = \frac{\pi^2}{\epsilon \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{\lambda_0}(\lambda - \lambda')} + 2(\ln \epsilon + C - 1) + K_{reg}(\lambda, \lambda') + o(\epsilon \ln \epsilon) \quad (108)$$

where

$$V_{reg}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N\mathcal{U}(\lambda)} \sum_a \delta_P(\lambda - \xi_a) (\xi_a/\lambda_0 + 1/2)^2 \quad (109)$$

$$K_{reg}(\lambda, \lambda') = \frac{1}{N^2 \mathcal{U}(\lambda) \mathcal{U}(\lambda')} \sum_{a,b} \delta_P(\lambda - \xi_a) \delta_P(\lambda - \xi_b) [\psi(w_{ab}) + \psi(-w_{ab}) + w_{ab} \{\psi'(w_{ab}) - \psi'(-w_{ab})\}] \quad (110)$$

Here $w_{ab} \equiv (\xi_a - \xi_b)/\lambda_0$ and C is Euler's constant. Also, $\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x)$ and $\psi'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \psi(x)$, $\Gamma(x)$ being the standard gamma-function. Once again, $\xi_a = \lambda_a + n_F^a$, the gauge-invariant combination.

Now notice that the above expressions depend on the fermi level n_F^a . The dependence of quantities such as total energy on the fermi level is of course quite natural. However, since in our problem the n_F^a 's are not gauge-invariant, the question is how one can possibly have a fermi vacuum specified by a fixed set of n_F^a 's and still not violate gauge-invariance. The answer, as we shall see below, is that there is no fixed fermi vacuum in our theory with a given set of n_F^a 's and the total wavefunction for the full theory (for example for the full ground state) is given by a sum $\sum_{\{n_F^a\}} |n_F^a\rangle \otimes \phi_{n_F^a}(\{\lambda_a\})$ where $\phi_{n_F^a}(\lambda_a)$ is the (lowest energy) eigenfunction of the effective hamiltonian for gauge fields for given n_F^a 's.

We start by observing that $|\{n_F^a\}\rangle$ is a wavefunction of the fermions. To construct wavefunctions of the full theory we need to consider tensor products of this with wavefunctions of the gauge fields. Denoting the latter by $\phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\{\lambda_a\})$ we may write for the full wavefunction

$$\Psi_{n_F}(\psi; \lambda) \equiv |\{n_F^a\}\rangle \otimes \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\{\lambda_a\}) \quad (111)$$

Since $|n_F^a\rangle$ is a simultaneous eigenstate of the fermionic operators $O_n^a \equiv \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \psi_n^a \psi_n^{\dagger a})$ and since the O_n^a 's commute with the full hamiltonian H ,⁴ the above wavefunction would also be an eigenstate of H (albeit a non-gauge-invariant one), provided $\phi_{\{n_F^a\}}$ satisfies the equation

$$\left[\sum_a -\frac{\partial_{\lambda_a}^2}{2N^2 L} + H_F^{reg}(\{\xi_a\}) \right] \phi_{n_F^a}(\{\lambda_a\}) = E \phi_{n_F^a}(\{\lambda_a\}), \quad \xi_a = \lambda_a + n_F^a \lambda_0 \quad (112)$$

where H_F^{reg} is obtained from H_F in (104) by substituting $V_{reg}(\lambda)$ and $K_{reg}(\lambda, \lambda')$ respectively for $V(\lambda)$ and $K(\lambda, \lambda')$ in it.

⁴These operators commute with the quadratic part of H_F for massless quarks. It can be shown that their commutator with the quartic fermion term is down by a factor of $1/N$.

In the above equation the values of λ_a are in the N -torus ($\lambda_a \in [0, \lambda_0)$). Since this is a compact region we must supplement the differential equation (112) by boundary conditions specifying what happens when any one of the eigenvalues (say λ_b) reaches the value λ_0 . We will now argue that the appropriate boundary conditions are that both $\phi_{\{n_F^a\}}$ and its gradient $\partial_{\lambda_c} \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}$ are continuous:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\lambda_b = \lambda_0) &= \phi_{\{n_F^a + \delta^{ab}\}}(\lambda_b = 0) \\ \partial_{\lambda_c} \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\lambda_b = \lambda_0) &= \partial_{\lambda_c} \phi_{\{n_F^a + \delta^{ab}\}}(\lambda_b = 0), \quad c = 1, 2, \dots, b, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

Equation (113) is basically a consequence of invariance of the theory under topologically non-trivial gauge transformations and is connected with the phenomenon of ‘spectral flow’ [8]. The point is best illustrated by considering the spectrum, eqn. (85), of the dirac operator for each colour a , which we reproduce here for convenience

$$E_{n\alpha}^a = \frac{2\pi}{L} \text{sgn}(\alpha) \left(n + \frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_0} \right) \quad (114)$$

Consider a one-parameter deformation

$$\mu \mapsto \lambda_b(\mu) = \mu \lambda_0, \quad \mu \in [0, 1] \quad (115)$$

such that

$$\lambda_b(0) = 0, \quad \lambda_b(1) = \lambda_0 \quad (116)$$

Under this flow a right-handed (left-handed) energy level continuously increases (decreases) as μ increases from 0 towards 1. As μ reaches 1, however, the spectrum becomes identical to that at $\mu = 0$ (namely, $(2\pi/L) \times$ integers). This is in accord with the fact that $\lambda_b = 0$ and $\lambda_b = \lambda_0$ represent the same physical point on the λ_b -circle. We may wish to ask if the physics is always invariant under the change $(\lambda_b = 0) \rightarrow (\lambda_b = \lambda_0)$. In the pure Yang-Mills theory this is in fact what happens since $\lambda_b = \lambda_0$ can be gauge-transformed to $\lambda_b = 0$. In the presence of dynamical fermions, however, such a gauge transformation also changes the fermi momenta (see (87)) and in particular the fermi levels ((90),(91)). In this case the statement of invariance translates to the fact that physics should be invariant with respect to the change $(\lambda_b = 0, n_F^b) \rightarrow (\lambda_b = \lambda_0, n_F^b - 1)$. Indeed every term in H_F remains invariant under this change because in each of them λ_a and n_F^a appear in the combination $\xi_a = \lambda_a + n_F^a \lambda_0$ for all a . The boundary conditions (113) reflect the fact that the amplitudes for the gauge field configuration $(\lambda_b = \lambda_0)$ at some given value of n_F^b is the same as that of $(\lambda_b = 0)$ at $n_F^b + 1$.

Note that since the differential equation (112) is actually in terms of $\xi_a = \lambda_a + n_F^a \lambda_0$ we can write

$$\phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\{\lambda_a\}) = u(\{\lambda_a + n_F^a\}) \quad (117)$$

where $u(\{\xi_a\})$ is a function of N real variables $\xi_a \in (-\infty, \infty)$, satisfying the differential equation

$$\left[\sum_a -\frac{\partial_{\xi_a}^2}{2N^2 L} + H_F^{reg}(\{\xi_a\}) \right] u(\{\xi_a\}) = E u(\{\xi_a\}) \quad (118)$$

The boundary conditions (113) basically ensure the continuity and smoothness of the function $u(\{\xi_a\})$ at integer values of ξ_a (it is enough to ensure continuity of the function and of the first derivative since the differential equation (118) ensures the continuity of the higher derivatives). It is indeed the boundary conditions (113) that encode the information that $(2\pi\lambda_a/\lambda_0)$ ’s are ‘angles’ and there is nothing special about the points $(2\pi\lambda_a/\lambda_0) = 0$ (or $= 2\pi$) to warrant any discontinuity.

Let us now go back to the ansatz for the full wavefunction (111) of the theory. The gauge transformation of the wavefunction under $\Omega(\{m_a\})$ can be read off by making the inverse transformation $\Omega^\dagger$ on the ‘coordinates’ ψ^a and λ_a . Using the fact that $\langle\psi|\Omega|\{n_F^a\}\rangle = \langle\psi|\{n_F^a - m_a\}\rangle$, we have

$$\Psi_{n_F}(\psi, \lambda) \rightarrow \Psi_{n_F}^\Omega(\psi, \lambda) \equiv \Psi_{n_F}(\psi^{\Omega^\dagger}, \lambda_a^{\Omega^\dagger}) = |\{n_F^a - m_a\}\rangle \otimes \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\{\lambda_a - m_a\}) \quad (119)$$

Using the boundary conditions (113) we can rewrite (119) as

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi^\Omega(\psi, \lambda) \equiv \Psi(\psi^{\Omega^\dagger}, \lambda^{\Omega^\dagger}) = |\{n_F^a - m_a\}\rangle \otimes \phi_{\{n_F^a - m_a\}}(\{\lambda_a\}) \quad (120)$$

The last equation not only tells us that the state (111) is not gauge-invariant but it also immediately tells us what the gauge invariant state is. It is simply

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\{n_F^a\}} |\{n_F^a\}\rangle \otimes \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\{\lambda_a\}) \quad (121)$$

To see the implication of the above, let us calculate the expectation value of the full hamiltonian H in the state $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Psi|H|\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{\{n_F^a\}} \prod_a \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda_a \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}^*(\{\lambda_a\}) H_{\text{eff}} \phi_{\{n_F^a\}}(\{\lambda_a\}) \\ &= \prod_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi_a u^*(\{\xi_a\}) \left(\sum_a -\frac{1}{2N^2L} \partial^2 / \partial \xi_a^2 + H_F^{\text{reg}}(\{\xi_a\}) \right) u(\{\xi_a\}) \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

where H_{eff} is given by the expression in square brackets on the left hand side of (112). The last line shows that the presence of fermions forces a *decompactification* of the eigenvalues λ_a to the gauge-invariant combination $\lambda_a + n_F^a = \xi_a$ which is a real number $\in (-\infty, \infty)$. It also tells us that there is no fixed fermi level in a compact gauge theory with dynamical gauge fields; rather, the effective theory of the gauge fields $\{\lambda_a\}$ in the fermi vacuum is given by a density matrix $\rho(n_F^a, \lambda_a | n_F'^a, \lambda'_a)$. The trace with respect to the density matrices in the above calculations reduces to a single sum over $\{n_F^a\}$ because quantities like total energy etc. in the large N limit are diagonal in the occupation number representation.

Connection with axial anomaly:

The above discussion has an intimate connection with the existence of the well-known nonabelian axial anomaly in the present theory [13]

$$D^\mu J_{\mu,5} = \frac{g}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \quad (123)$$

where $J_{\mu,5}^{ab} = \bar{\psi}^a \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi^b$ is the axial colour current. Let us denote by Q_5^a the diagonal axial charges $\int_0^L J_{0,5}^{aa} = \int_0^L \psi^{\dagger a} \gamma^5 \psi^a$. Integrating both sides of (123) over all space and restricting to diagonal colour components we get (in the gauge $\partial_x A_1 = 0$, $A_1^{ab} = \lambda_a \delta_{ab}$)

$$\partial_t Q_5^a + ig \int_0^L dx [A_0, J_{0,5}]^{aa} = \frac{g}{\pi} \int_0^L dx F_{01}^{aa} \quad (124)$$

The commutator term on the left hand side vanishes in the fermi vacuum, while the integral on the right hand side is just the constant colour-diagonal component of the transverse electric field. With these inputs we may rewrite (124) as

$$\partial_t Q_5^a = \frac{2E_a}{\lambda_0} \quad (125)$$

where we have denoted $(1/L) \int_0^L dx F_{01}^{aa}$ by E_a .

Let us now see how (125) arises from our discussion of the previous section. In the fermi vacuum, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_5^a &= Q_R^a - Q_L^a, \\ Q_R^a &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n_F^a} 1, \quad Q_L^a = \sum_{n=n_F^a+1}^{\infty} 1 \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

Using the exponential regularization once again, we get

$$Q_R^a(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} + n_F^a + \frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_0} + o(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\xi_a}{\lambda_0} + o(\epsilon) \quad (127)$$

and

$$Q_L^a(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} - n_F^a - \frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_0} + o(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\xi_a}{\lambda_0} + o(\epsilon) \quad (128)$$

giving

$$Q_5^a = \frac{2\xi_a}{\lambda_0} + 1 \quad (129)$$

Thus we see that the regularized diagonal axial charges, Q_5^a , depend on the gauge fields λ_a . In fact, as λ_a is tuned from 0 to λ_0 (eqs. (115) and (116)), Q_5^a changes by 2. The reason for this is the spectral flow which causes an existing left-handed energy level to be shifted to the right-handed energy levels. As a consequence of this spectral flow, the regularized diagonal axial charges are neither integers nor conserved. The best way to see the presence of anomaly (that is, nonconservation of Q_5^a) is to work in terms of the extended variable ξ_a (see the example in (122)) and to work out the Heisenberg operator equation of motion for the charges Q_5^a in the effective theory of the gauge fields. We get

$$\frac{i}{N} \partial_t Q_5^a = [Q_5^a, -\sum_a \frac{\partial_{\xi_a}^2}{2N^2 L} + H_F^{reg}] \quad (130)$$

Using (129) and (130) we get

$$\partial_t Q_5^a = -i(\bar{g}/\pi N) \partial_{\xi_a} = 2E_a/\lambda_0 \quad (131)$$

which is identical to (125).⁵

6. The effective theory of gauge degrees of freedom — description in terms of fermion bilocal operator

We have seen that the effective theory of gauge degrees of freedom is described by a system of N nonrelativistic fermions⁶ interacting with each other. The effective hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{eff}} &= -\sum_a \frac{1}{2LN^2} \partial_{\xi_a}^2 + \frac{\bar{g}\lambda_0}{N} \sum_a (\xi_a/\lambda_0 + 1/2)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2L\lambda_0^2 N^2} \sum_{a,b} [\psi(w_{ab}) + \psi(-w_{ab}) + w_{ab} \{\psi'(w_{ab}) - \psi'(-w_{ab})\}], \quad w_{ab} = (\xi_a - \xi_b)/\lambda_0 \end{aligned} \quad (132)$$

⁵In the last equality of (131) we have identified E_a with the operator $(-i/N) \partial_{\xi_a}$. This is easy to see because on the wavefunctions (eq. (117)) the operator ∂_{λ_a} reduces to ∂_{ξ_a} in the sense of eq. (122).

⁶The mapping of the 1-plaquette lattice gauge theory into free non-relativistic fermions is an old result [14]. The method of proof used in [14] can be trivially extended to any polygon approximation of the circle. In the continuum limit, as expected, the hamiltonian is given by the laplacian on the unitary group. The wave-functions are $U(N)$ singlets in the absence of external sources. A recent discussion of YM theory on circle is given in [5].

Introducing a new fermi field, $\eta(\xi, t)$, which satisfies the anticommutation relation

$$\{\eta(\xi, t), \eta^\dagger(\xi', t)\} = \delta(\xi - \xi'), \quad (133)$$

we can build a field theoretic description for the effective theory:

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \eta^\dagger(\xi, t) h_\xi \eta(\xi, t) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi' \eta^\dagger(\xi) \eta(\xi) K_{\xi\xi'} \eta^\dagger(\xi') \eta(\xi') \quad (134)$$

where

$$h_\xi = -\frac{1}{2LN^2} \partial_\xi^2 + \frac{\bar{g}\lambda_0}{N} \left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \quad (135)$$

and

$$K_{\xi\xi'} = K(\xi - \xi'), \quad K(\xi) = \frac{1}{2L\lambda_0^2 N^2} (1 + \xi \partial_\xi) (\psi(\xi) + \psi(-\xi)) \quad (136)$$

The physical states of this system are required to satisfy the operator constraint

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \eta^\dagger(\xi, t) \eta(\xi, t) = N \quad (137)$$

which imposes the requirement of a fixed number (N) of fermions.

Because of the constraint (137) the physical states of this system are bosonic. A procedure for complete bosonization of systems like the present one has been developed in [10, 16]. The key ingredient in terms of which the bosonization is achieved is the bilocal operator $\Phi(t)$, defined by

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\xi\xi'}(t) = \eta(\xi, t) \eta^\dagger(\xi', t). \quad (138)$$

The bilocal operator satisfies a W_∞ algebra, which can be deduced from the fermion anticommutation relation (133):

$$[\hat{\Phi}_{\xi_1\xi_2}(t), \hat{\Phi}_{\xi'_1\xi'_2}(t)] = \delta(\xi_2 - \xi'_1) \hat{\Phi}_{\xi_1\xi'_2}(t) - \delta(\xi_1 - \xi'_2) \hat{\Phi}_{\xi'_1\xi_2}(t) \quad (139)$$

It also satisfies a quadratic constraint, which follows directly from (137):

$$\hat{\Phi}_{\xi\xi'}^2(t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi'' \hat{\Phi}_{\xi\xi''}(t) \hat{\Phi}_{\xi''\xi'}(t) = (N+1) \hat{\Phi}_{\xi\xi'}(t), \quad \text{i.e. } \hat{\Phi}^2 = (N+1) \hat{\Phi}. \quad (140)$$

Also in terms of the bilocal operator $\hat{\Phi}$ the constraint (137) reads

$$Tr(1 - \hat{\Phi}) = N \quad (141)$$

where the symbol ‘ Tr ’ stands for the usual matrix trace, i.e. $Tr A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi A_{\xi\xi}$.

A description of the classical phase space of this system is obtained in terms of the expectation values $\langle \hat{\Phi} \rangle$ of the bilocal operator in W_∞ -coherent states. We shall denote these by Φ . One can show that the classical phase space satisfies the constraints

$$\Phi^2 = \Phi, \quad Tr(1 - \Phi) = N. \quad (142)$$

These constraints are classical analogues of the operator constraints (140) and (141) and define a coadjoint orbit of the W_∞ algebra (139). One can now immediately write down a bosonic field theory action, following Kirillov’s method of coadjoint orbits:

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{i}{N} \int_{\Sigma} ds dt Tr(\Phi(s, t) [\partial_t \Phi(s, t), \partial_s \Phi(s, t)]) - \int dt Tr(h\Phi) + \int dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi' \Phi_{\xi'\xi} \Phi_{\xi\xi'} K_{\xi\xi'} \quad (143)$$

where

$$h_{\xi\xi'} = h_{\xi}\delta(\xi - \xi') \quad (144)$$

The integration region Σ of (s, t) is the lower half plane, $s \in (-\infty, 0)$, $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, and $\Phi(s, t)$ satisfies the boundary conditions $\Phi(s = 0, t) = \Phi(t)$, $\Phi(s, t)|_{s \rightarrow -\infty} = \text{time-independent constant matrix}$. We note that the term quadratic in Φ in the action (143) breaks the residual part of W_{∞} invariance that survives after introduction of the ‘magnetic field’ h .

Because of the constraints (142), the allowed variations in Φ are the W_{∞} rotations:

$$\Phi \rightarrow e^{iW} \Phi e^{-iW} \approx \Phi + i[W, \Phi] + \dots \quad (145)$$

Making such a variation in S_{eff} we deduce the classical equation of motion for Φ :

$$\frac{i}{N} \partial_t \Phi_{\xi\xi'}(t) = [\Phi(t), h]_{\xi\xi'} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi'' \Phi_{\xi\xi''} \Phi_{\xi''\xi'} (K_{\xi\xi''} - K_{\xi''\xi'}) \quad (146)$$

One can easily check that this is identical to the operator equation obtained using (134). Note that the factor of $1/N$ on the left hand side is there because $\hbar = 1/N$.

The classical ground state of this system is described by such a time-independent solution of (146) that satisfies the constraints (142). Excited states are described by arbitrary W_{∞} rotations of the ground state. In general the description of these states is rather complicated. However, the semiclassical limit of a theory of the type described by the action (143) and constraints (142) admits of a simple fermi fluid description in the sense of a Hartree-Fock approximation. If we further restrict ourselves to small fluctuations of the fermi surface of a special type (‘quadratic profile’) then the fermi fluid description [17] further simplifies to a description in terms of the collective variables [18], the density $\rho(\xi, t)$ and its conjugate momentum $\Pi(\xi, t)$. The semiclassical limit of the present theory is obtained when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In the small L limit one can neglect the quartic fermion term in (134) and the theory considerably simplifies. We shall discuss this limit separately in a later section.

7. The operator algebra of meson fluctuations

In the previous sections we fixed our attention on the lowest energy state in the fermionic sector since fermionic fluctuations do not affect the effective theory of gauge fields in leading order in N . To obtain the meson spectrum in this theory, however, we need to consider fluctuations in the bilocal field. The allowed fluctuations are $\widetilde{W}_{\infty}^f$ -rotations. Let us denote the expectation value of $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ in the full vacuum of the theory by $\overline{M}_0(\lambda)$ and the corresponding quantity for $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ (see eqn. (71)) by $\mathcal{M}_0(\lambda)$. Then, for fluctuations we must write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\lambda, t) &= e^{iW(\lambda, t)/\sqrt{N}} \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda) e^{-iW(\lambda, t)/\sqrt{N}} \\ &= \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{N}} [W(\lambda, t), \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda)] + \frac{i^2}{2!N} [W(\lambda, t), [W(\lambda, t), \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda)]] + o(\frac{1}{N\sqrt{N}}) \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

Here $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ is defined as in (71). By definition, $\mathcal{M}_0(\lambda)$ satisfies the quadratic constraint $\mathcal{M}_0^2(\lambda) = \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda)$. This implies the existence of the two projection operators P_+ and P_- , which are given by

$$P_+(\lambda) = \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda), \quad P_-(\lambda) = 1 - \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda), \quad P_{\pm}^2(\lambda) = P_{\pm}(\lambda) \quad (148)$$

With the help of these projection operators we can distinguish four different kinds of operators that may be constructed out of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, t)$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm}(\lambda, t) = P_{\pm}(\lambda)\mathcal{M}(\lambda, t)P_{\pm}(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{M}_{\pm\mp}(\lambda, t) = P_{\pm}(\lambda)\mathcal{M}(\lambda, t)P_{\mp}(\lambda) \quad (149)$$

Using (147) and (148) we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pm\mp}(\lambda, t) = \mp \frac{i}{\sqrt{N}} W_{\pm\mp}(\lambda, t) + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \quad (150)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{++}(\lambda, t) = \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda) - \frac{1}{N} W_{+-}(\lambda, t) W_{-+}(\lambda, t) + o\left(\frac{1}{N\sqrt{N}}\right) \quad (151)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{--}(\lambda, t) = \frac{1}{N} W_{-+}(\lambda, t) W_{+-}(\lambda, t) + o\left(\frac{1}{N\sqrt{N}}\right) \quad (152)$$

The $\widetilde{W}_{\infty}^f$ algebra satisfied by $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$, eqn. (75), implies an algebra for the operators $\mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm}(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\pm\mp}(\lambda)$. It turns out that the first two of these generate a subalgebra of $\widetilde{W}_{\infty}^f$, which acts on the other two. We give the full algebra below.

$$\left[\mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta}(\lambda), \mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j'\beta'}(\lambda') \right] = \frac{\lambda_0}{N} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') \left\{ P_{\pm x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j'\beta}(\lambda) \mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm xy}^{i\alpha, j'\beta'}(\lambda) - P_{\pm xy'}^{i\alpha, j'\beta'}(\lambda) \mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j\beta}(\lambda) \right\} \quad (153)$$

$$\left[\mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta}(\lambda), \mathcal{M}_{\mp\mp x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j'\beta'}(\lambda') \right] = \frac{\lambda_0}{N} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') P_{\pm x'y}^{i'\alpha', j\beta}(\lambda) \mathcal{M}_{\mp\mp x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j'\beta'}(\lambda) \quad (154)$$

$$\left[\mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta}(\lambda), \mathcal{M}_{\mp\mp x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j'\beta'}(\lambda') \right] = -\frac{\lambda_0}{N} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') P_{\pm xy'}^{i\alpha, j'\beta'}(\lambda) \mathcal{M}_{\mp\mp x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j\beta}(\lambda) \quad (155)$$

$$\left[\mathcal{M}_{+-xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta}(\lambda), \mathcal{M}_{-+x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j'\beta'}(\lambda') \right] = \frac{\lambda_0}{N} \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') \left\{ P_{-x'y}^{i'\alpha', j\beta}(\lambda) \mathcal{M}_{+-xy}^{i\alpha, j'\beta'}(\lambda) - P_{+xy'}^{i\alpha, j'\beta'}(\lambda) \mathcal{M}_{-+x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j\beta}(\lambda) \right\} \quad (156)$$

The commutators of all other combinations vanish. The first of the above is the statement that $\mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm}(\lambda)$ satisfy a subalgebra of $\widetilde{W}_{\infty}^f$. The second and third show that this algebra acts on $\mathcal{M}_{\pm\mp}(\lambda)$. The last is best understood in terms of the fluctuations $W_{\pm\mp}(\lambda)$. Let us substitute (150)–(152) in the above algebra, retaining only the first non-trivial term in the fluctuations in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ expansion. We see that $(\mathcal{M}_{++}(\lambda) - \mathcal{M}_0(\lambda))$ and $\mathcal{M}_{--}(\lambda)$, which are realized to this order in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ in terms of the fluctuations $W_{+-}(\lambda)$ and $W_{-+}(\lambda)$, satisfy a subalgebra of $\widetilde{W}_{\infty}^f$ which acts on the fluctuations. The origin of this action is the commutation relation in (156), which gives for the fluctuations

$$\left[W_{+-xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta}(\lambda), W_{-+x'y'}^{i'\alpha', j'\beta'}(\lambda') \right] = \lambda_0 \delta_P(\lambda - \lambda') P_{-x'y}^{i'\alpha', j\beta}(\lambda) P_{+xy'}^{i\alpha, j'\beta'}(\lambda) \quad (157)$$

We see that a c -number term has appeared in the algebra of fluctuations (157). In [6] a corresponding phenomenon had occurred for meson fluctuations where the c -number term was identified with the central term of a Heisenberg algebra for the fluctuations.

The c -number term in (157) depends on the vacuum expectation value of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$. We close this section by outlining briefly how this can be obtained. First we note that (by equation (71)) $\mathcal{M}_0(\lambda) = \overline{M}_0(g^{-1}(\lambda))$, where g is the transformation that takes the eigenvalue distribution in the ground state of the effective gauge theory to the fiducial distribution (see eqn. (65)). Note that the decompactification of the eigenvalues discussed in section 5 does not affect this since the transformation g only sees the fractional parts of ξ_a 's (or λ_a 's). Now, $g(\lambda)$ can be expressed in terms of the density $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ as in eqn. (68). It follows, therefore, that a computation of $\mathcal{M}_0(\lambda)$

involves knowing $\overline{M}_0(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{U}_0(\lambda)$, where the latter is the vacuum expectation value in the effective gauge theory. Actually, both $\overline{M}_0(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{U}_0(\lambda)$ can be obtained from a knowledge of the vacuum expectation value of a more general density variable $\rho(\xi, t)$ defined on the entire real line:

$$\rho(\xi, t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_a \delta(\xi - \xi_a(t)) \quad (158)$$

It is related to $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t)$ by

$$\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(\lambda + m\lambda_0, t) \quad (159)$$

Also, $\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda, t)$, given by (99) and (100), can be rewritten in terms of $\rho(\xi, t)$ as

$$\overline{M}_{xy}(\lambda, t) = -\gamma^5 \frac{1}{L\mathcal{U}(\lambda, t)} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(\lambda, t; x - y)}{1 - e^{-i2\pi(x-y)/L}} \quad (160)$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho}(\lambda, t; x - y) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i2\pi m(x-y)/L} \rho(\lambda + m\lambda_0, t) \quad (161)$$

Thus a knowledge of $\rho_0(\xi)$ is sufficient to compute $\overline{M}_0(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{U}_0(\lambda)$ and hence $\mathcal{M}_0(\lambda)$. A computation of the value of $\rho_0(\xi)$ in the small L limit is given in the next section.

8. The small L limit

Here we are interested in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$. In this limit $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/\bar{g}L \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. as the length of the space circle becomes vanishingly small, the length of the internal circle becomes infinitely large. We then expect the dynamics to reside only in the gauge field degrees of freedom, since the fermionic fluctuations are further suppressed by factors of $1/L$ in addition to the $1/N$ suppression already present at large N . Now, the dynamics of the gauge fields is given by the effective hamiltonian H_{eff} (132). The first two terms in H_{eff} are of order $1/L$. The last term is subleading in L and does not contribute. This is because its coefficient vanishes linearly as $L \rightarrow 0$ while the quantity in the square brackets remains finite in this limit⁷. Therefore to leading order in the $L \rightarrow 0$ limit, the effective gauge field dynamics is described by a set of N free non-relativistic fermions moving on a line in a harmonic oscillator potential. This problem may be solved by the bosonization methods introduced and extensively discussed in [10, 16] in the closely related context of the $c = 1$ matrix model, in which the only difference is that the potential has the wrong sign.

In Sec. 6 we have introduced this formalism of bosonization for the more general problem of interacting fermions. In the small L limit the quartic fermion term in $\mathcal{H}$, eqn. (134), can be neglected and the theory considerably simplifies. The relevant equation of motion in this limit is

$$\frac{i}{N} \partial_t \Phi(t) = [\Phi(t), h]. \quad (162)$$

⁷ This can be seen by using the property of the function $\psi(x)$, $\psi(x) = \psi(x+1) - 1/x$. At small x $\psi(x)$ behaves as $-1/x$ since $\psi(1)$ is finite. Using this, it follows that the quantity in the square brackets in H_{eff} vanishes as $w_{ab} \rightarrow 0$. It is this last limit that is of relevance here since the maximum separation between two of the scaled eigenvalues ξ_a/λ_0 and ξ_b/λ_0 goes as $\sqrt{L}$.

The classical ground state of this system, Φ_0 , is described by a time-independent solution of (162) which satisfies the constraints

$$\Phi_0^2 = \Phi_0, \quad Tr(1 - \Phi_0) = N \quad (163)$$

Let us parametrize such a solution as

$$\Phi_{0,\xi\xi'} = \delta(\xi - \xi') - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(\xi) \phi_n^*(\xi') \quad (164)$$

This satisfies the equation of motion (162) provided the ϕ_n 's satisfy the Schrodinger equation for a harmonic oscillator:

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2LN^2} \partial_\xi^2 + \frac{\bar{g}\lambda_0}{N} \left(\frac{\xi}{\lambda_0} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] \phi_n = E_n \phi_n \quad (165)$$

Assuming that ϕ_n 's are orthonormal and imposing the constraints (163) on (164), we get

$$c_n^2 = c_n, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n = N \quad (166)$$

The first of (166) says that any given level is either occupied or unoccupied (fermions!), while the second says that precisely N levels are occupied. Since the energy of the level labelled by n goes as n , minimising energy in the ground state implies that the first N levels are occupied, *i.e.*,

$$c_n = \theta(-n + N - 1). \quad (167)$$

The density $\rho(\xi, t)$ is given by the formula

$$\rho(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{N} (1 - \Phi(t))_{\xi\xi}. \quad (168)$$

For the ground state we see that

$$\rho_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \phi_n(\xi) \phi_n^*(\xi). \quad (169)$$

Excited states (glueballs) are described by W_∞ rotations of the ground state constructed above. Writing

$$\Phi(t) = e^{i\Delta(t)} \Phi_0 e^{-i\Delta(t)} \quad (170)$$

and neglecting quadratic and higher terms in $\Delta(t)$, we see that $\Delta(t)$ satisfies the equation of motion

$$\left[\frac{i}{N} \partial_t \Delta(t) - [\Delta(t), h], \Phi_0 \right] = 0 \quad (171)$$

This leads to

$$\frac{i}{N} \partial_t \Delta_{\pm\mp}(t) = [\Delta_{\pm\mp}(t), h] \quad (172)$$

where

$$\Delta_{+-}(t) \equiv \Phi_0 \Delta(t) (1 - \Phi_0), \quad \Delta_{-+}(t) \equiv (1 - \Phi_0) \Delta(t) \Phi_0 \quad (173)$$

This construction is identical to the one just described for meson fluctuations and everything discussed there also applies here. Defining

$$\Delta_{\pm\mp}^{nm}(t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi' \phi_n^*(\xi) (\Delta_{\pm\mp}(t))_{\xi\xi'} \phi_m(\xi') \quad (174)$$

and using the ground state solution Φ_0 obtained above one can show that $\Delta_{+-}^{nm}(t)$ vanishes if $n < N$ or $m \geq N$. Similarly, one can also show that $\Delta_{-+}^{nm}(t)$ vanishes if $n \geq N$ or $m < N$. Moreover, these two satisfy the algebra

$$[\Delta_{+-}^{nm}, \Delta_{-+}^{n'm'}] = \theta(n - N)\theta(-m + N - 1)\delta^{nm'}\delta^{n'm} \quad (175)$$

Thus, for appropriate ranges of indices, Δ_{+-}^{nm} and Δ_{-+}^{mn} form a canonically conjugate pair.

The equation of motion for $\Delta_{\pm\mp}^{nm}$ is

$$\frac{i}{N}\partial_t \Delta_{\pm\mp}^{nm}(t) = -(E_n - E_m)\Delta_{\pm\mp}^{nm}(t) \quad (176)$$

which is trivially solved by

$$\Delta_{\pm\mp}^{nm}(t) = e^{iN(E_n - E_m)t} \Delta_{\pm\mp}^{nm}(0) \quad (177)$$

Thus the spectrum of glueballs consists of ‘discrete’ states labelled by two integers (n, m) [19]. A residual wedge subalgebra of the original W_∞ acts on this tower of states and moves them around. This subalgebra is generated by

$$\Phi_{++} \equiv \Phi_0 \Phi \Phi_0, \quad (178)$$

and

$$\Phi_{--} \equiv (1 - \Phi_0)\Phi(1 - \Phi_0), \quad (179)$$

exactly like the situation discussed in the previous section. Φ_{++}^{nm} is nonvanishing only for $n, m \geq N$, while Φ_{--}^{nm} is so for $n, m < N$. Their action on Δ_{+-} is given by

$$[\Phi_{++}^{nm}, \Delta_{+-}^{n'm'}] = \theta(n' - N)\delta^{n'm}\Delta_{+-}^{nm'} \quad (180)$$

$$[\Phi_{--}^{nm}, \Delta_{+-}^{n'm'}] = -\theta(-m' + N - 1)\delta^{nm'}\Delta_{+-}^{n'm} \quad (181)$$

There is a similar action on Δ_{-+}^{nm} . Thus the spectrum in the limit $L \rightarrow 0$ consists of a tower of discrete states (glueballs) which realize a representation of a wedge subalgebra of W_∞ algebra.

9. The large L limit

In the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ the pure Yang-Mills part of the hamiltonian behaves like a system of infinitely massive particles. Fluctuations in $\{\lambda_a\}$ are therefore small, and so we can fix our attention on the ground state only in the Yang-Mills sector. Also as $L \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/\bar{g}L \rightarrow 0$, i.e. the length of internal circle becomes vanishingly small. We then expect only the zero mode of $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ to survive.

Actually, in this limit $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ is not a convenient variable to work with since it is not periodic in λ . However, $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$, to which $\overline{M}(\lambda)$ is related as in (54), is periodic and so this is the appropriate variable to work with in the large L limit. In terms of $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ the equation of motion (78) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & i(\partial_t - (1/L)\sum_a p_a \partial_{\lambda_a}) \widetilde{M}_{xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta}(\lambda, t) \\ &= \left[-\gamma^5 i\partial + m\gamma^0, \widetilde{M}(\lambda, t) \right]_{xy}^{i\alpha, j\beta} + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \int_0^{\lambda_0} d\lambda' \mathcal{U}(\lambda', t) \int_0^L dz \left[\widetilde{K}(\lambda' - \lambda; x - z) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left(\widetilde{M}_{xz}(\lambda', t) \widetilde{M}_{zy}(\lambda, t) \right)^{i\alpha, j\beta} - \widetilde{K}(\lambda - \lambda' y - z) \left(\widetilde{M}_{xz}(\lambda, t) \widetilde{M}_{zy}(\lambda', t) \right)^{i\alpha, j\beta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (182)$$

where $\widetilde{K}(\lambda; x) = e^{-i\bar{g}x\lambda}\bar{K}(\lambda; x)$, $\bar{K}(\lambda; x)$ being given by (80). Moreover, $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ satisfies constraints that are identical to (57) and (58):

$$\widetilde{M}^2(\lambda) = \widetilde{M}(\lambda), \quad (183)$$

$$Tr(1 - \widetilde{M}(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (184)$$

Let us now expand $\widetilde{M}(\lambda, t)$ in modes in λ :

$$\widetilde{M}(\lambda, t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\frac{2\pi n\lambda}{\lambda_0}} \widetilde{M}^{(n)}(t). \quad (185)$$

Retaining only its zero mode in the equation of motion (182) and in the constraints (183) and (184), we get

$$i\partial_t \widetilde{M}^{(0)}(t) = \left[-\gamma^5 i\partial + m\gamma^0, \widetilde{M}^{(0)}(t) \right] + \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \left[\widetilde{M}^{(0)}(t), \widetilde{M}'^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (186)$$

$$\left(\widetilde{M}^{(0)} \right)^2 = \widetilde{M}^{(0)}, \quad (187)$$

$$Tr(1 - \widetilde{M}^{(0)}) = 0, \quad (188)$$

where $\widetilde{M}'^{(0)}(t) = \widetilde{M}_{xy}^{(0)}(t)|x - y|$. These are precisely the equations of motion and the constraints that one gets in the gauge $A_1 = 0$ for the fermion bilocal for QCD₂ on a plane. The nonzero modes of $\widetilde{M}(\lambda)$ decouple because excitation of these modes makes the corresponding states infinitely heavy in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$.

We mention here that in [6] we solved the model defined by the equations (186), (187) and (188) in the manifestly Lorentz-invariant gauge $A_+ = 0$. We showed there that the spectrum of excitations of this model is identical to the 'tHooft spectrum for mesons and that these particles realize a representation of a wedge subalgebra of the W_∞ -algebra which the fermion bilocal fields satisfy.

10. Concluding Remarks

To conclude we remark that some of the ideas presented in this paper may well apply to finite temperature QCD. It is well-known that field theories at finite temperature ($T = 1/\beta$) can be mapped to Euclidean field theories with compactified time (with period β). On the other hand, for Euclidean field theories, time is just any one of the dimensions. Therefore, if we consider the Euclidean version of our theory, then we can learn about the spectrum of glueballs and mesons at $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ from our analysis at $L \rightarrow 0$ and $L \rightarrow \infty$ respectively. Indeed this is perhaps the simplest model involving dynamical quarks and gauge fields where one can learn about their excitations and interactions at finite temperature in an analytic fashion. Implications of this model for QCD at finite temperature are being worked out.

The second remark is that by tuning L in this theory we seem to achieve a ‘melting’ of topological degrees of freedom to propagating degrees of freedom. As we have seen, in the small L limit ($\beta \rightarrow 0$) mesons are frozen, and the theory is described in terms of the quantum mechanical degrees of freedom ($\lambda_a(t)$) which do not depend on space. As we keep increasing L , the mesonic fluctuations come into play. It is a very interesting open question how the theory behaves at intermediate values of L .

The third remark is about what we can learn from this model *vis-a-vis* string theory. The interaction between glueballs and mesons in this theory can be compared with an interaction between closed and open strings respectively where the closed string sector depends only on time and not on space. The freezing of the propagating (open string) degrees of freedom at $L \rightarrow 0$ tempts one to identify the remaining, purely quantum mechanical, closed string degrees of freedom as the degrees of freedom of a ‘topological’ string theory. There is also the interesting possibility that this theory has a three dimensional (x, t, ξ) description where ξ is a new dimension arising out of the eigenvalues in the gauge sector. We leave these interesting issues for future work.

References

- [1] See, *e.g.*, *The Large N Expansion in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Physics: From Spin Systems to 2-Dimensional Gravity*, Eds. E. Brezin and S.R. Wadia (World Scientific 1993) for a review and references to the literature.
- [2] E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* (1991) 153.
- [3] D.J. Gross, *Nucl. Phys. B*400 (1993) 161; D.J. Gross and W. Taylor, *Nucl. Phys. B*400 (1993) 181 and *Nucl. Phys. B*403 (1993) 395.
- [4] M.R. Douglas and V.I. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* 319B (1993) 219; M.R. Douglas, K. Li and M. Staudacher, preprint LPTENS-94-2, hep-th 9401062; S. Cordes, G. Moore and S. Ramgoolam, preprint YCTP-P23-93, RU-94-20, hep-th 9402107.
- [5] J. Minahan and A. Polychronakos, *Phys. Lett.* B312 (1993) 155.
- [6] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, preprint TIFR-TH-94/09, hep-th 9403050, to appear in *Phys. Lett. B*.
- [7] G. 'tHooft, *Nucl. Phys. B*75 (1974) 461.
- [8] N.S. Manton, *Ann. Phys.* 159 (1985) 220.
- [9] S. Das, A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*7 (1992) 5165.
- [10] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*7 (1992) 3129.
- [11] E. Brezin, V. Kazakov and A.I.B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys. B*338 (1990) 673; C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *J. Math. Phys.* 21 (1980) 411.
- [12] E. Langmann and G.W. Semenoff, *Phys. Lett. B* 303 (1993) 303.
- [13] W. Bardeen, *Phys. Rev.* 184 (1969) 1848.
- [14] S.R. Wadia, *Phys. Lett. B*93 (1980) 403.
- [15] D.J. Gross and E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. D*21 (1980) 446.
- [16] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*8 (1993) 3557.
- [17] A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*8 (1993) 325.
- [18] A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, *Nucl. Phys. B*165 (1980) 511.
- [19] D.J. Gross, I.R. Klebanov and M.J. Newman, *Nucl. Phys. B*350 (1990) 621.